



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/919,864 | 08/02/2001 | Kimberly J. Hood | BEH001-056 | 4182 | |
| 7590 10/21/2004 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| DIEDERIKS & WHITELAW, PLC | | | PEREZ DAPLE, AARON C | | |
| #301 12471 Dillingham Square | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Woodbridge, VA 22192 | | | 2154 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/21/200 | DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/919,864 | HOOD ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Aaron C Perez-Daple | 2154 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | · | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Au | iaust 2004. | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | · | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | • | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| • | nnionih umdon 35 H C O C 440/-) | (4) (0) | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents | | on No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori | * * | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | | o in this National Stage | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | | 1 | | | | |
| | | - · | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary (| | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | , , , , | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/919,864 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Action is in response to RCE filed 8/6/04, which has been fully considered.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.
- 3. This Action is non-Final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kerchner (US 6,559,882 B1) (hereinafter Kerchner) in view of Stern (US 6,654,757 B1) (hereinafter Stern).
- 6. As for claims 1 and 11, Kerchner discloses a system and method of operating a household appliance, said household appliance comprising:

a cabinet (cabinet 2, Fig. 1);

a display mounted to the cabinet, said display being adapted to present a plurality of visual screens to a consumer (display 20, Fig. 1; col. 5, lines 6-22; col. 11, lines 44-60); and control means (processor 24, Fig. 6) for establishing an advertising mode of operation wherein information concerning the household appliance is presented on the plurality of screens (col. 5, lines 23-45) for educating the consumer on the household appliance, wherein said household appliance is selected from the group consisting of a washing machine, a

Art Unit: 2154

dryer, a dishwasher, a range and a refrigerator (col. 3, lines 44-48; col. 24, line 65 – col. 25, line 3).

Kerchner does not specifically disclose advertising by calling public attention to the appliance to emphasize desirable qualities of the appliance so as to arouse a desire to buy the appliance. However, Stern teaches delivering point-of-sale advertising comprising a plurality of visual screens for the purpose of calling public attention to an item to emphasize desirable qualities of the item so as to arouse a desire to buy the item (col. 1, line 61 – col. 2, line 15; col.3, line 36 – col. 4, line 34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kerchner by delivering advertising comprising a plurality of visual screens for the purpose of calling public attention to the appliance to emphasize desirable qualities of the appliance so as to arouse a desire to buy the appliance in order to increase sales, as taught by Stern above. This would provide a further advantage to Stern of reducing costs by using the display already present in the appliance.

- 7. As for claims 2 and 12, Kerchner discloses the system and method according to claims 1 and 10, wherein the information concerns particular features of the household appliance (col. 5, lines 6-45).
- 8. As for claims 3 and 13, Kerchner discloses the system and method according to claims 1 and 10, wherein, the information concerns programming features of the household appliance (col. 5, lines 6-22).
- 9. As for claims 4 and 18, Kerchner does not specifically disclose receiving desired cycle parameters selected by the consumer for a simulated appliance operation. Stern teaches control means receiving desired cycle parameters selected by the consumer for a simulated

Art Unit: 2154

appliance operation (col. 4, lines 5-34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kerchner by receiving desired cycle parameters selected by the consumer for a simulated appliance operation in order to increase sales of the appliance through customer interaction, as taught by Stern (col. 2, lines 58-64).

- 10. As for claim 5, Kerchner discloses the household appliance according to claim 4, wherein the display constitutes a touch screen display through which the desired cycle parameters are inputted by the consumer (col. 12, lines 4-18).
- 11. As for claim 6, Kerchner discloses the household appliance according to claim 5, further comprising: non-permanent memory in which the desired cycle parameters are temporarily stored (col. 13, lines 49-55).
- 12. As for claim 7, Kerchner discloses the household appliance according to claim 1, wherein the display constitutes a touch screen display through which input parameters can be entered into the control means (col. 12, lines 4-18).
- 13. As for claim 8, Kerchner discloses the household appliance according to claim 1, wherein said control means further includes means for establishing automatic and interactive formats of the advertising mode (col. 5, lines 23-35).
- 14. As for claim 9, Kerchner discloses the household appliance according to claim 9, wherein the plurality of screens are successively displayed in a timed manner under an automatic format (col. 5, lines 23-35).
- 15. As for claim 10, Kerchner discloses the household appliance according to claim 9, wherein each of the plurality of screens is paused for receiving operator input parameters under the interactive format (col. 5, lines 23-35).

Art Unit: 2154

- 16. As for claim 14, Kerchner discloses the method of claim 11, further comprising: visually prompting the consumer for an input (col. 5, lines 23-35); and entering an interactive format of the advertising mode upon receiving the input from the consumer (col. 5, lines 23-35; col. 18, lines 49-65).
- 17. As for claim 15, Kerchner discloses the method of claim 14, further comprising: entering an automatic format of the advertising mode when the input is not received by the consumer (col. 5, lines 23-35; col. 18, lines 49-65).
- 18. As for claim 16, Kerchner discloses the method of claim 15, further comprising: presenting a series of successive informational screens in the display, in a timed manner, while in the automatic format (col. 5, lines 23-35; col. 18, lines 49-65).
- 19. As for claim 17, Kerchner discloses the method of claim 15, further comprising: receiving the input through the touching of the display by the consumer (col. 12, lines 4-18).
- 20. As for claim 19, Kerchner discloses the method of claim 18, further comprising: storing the desired cycle parameters inputted by the consumer in non-permanent memory (col. 13, lines 49-55).
- 21. As for claim 20, Kerchner discloses using non-permanent memory (col. 13, lines 49-55), but Kerchner does not specifically disclose erasing the non-permanent memory when the display of the appliance is idle for a predetermined period of time. "Official Notice" is given that both the concept and advantages of erasing the non-permanent memory when the display of an appliance is idle for a predetermined period of time are known and expected in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kerchner by erasing the non-permanent memory when the display of the appliance is

idle for a predetermined period of time in order to reset the programming means for the selection of a new machine cycle or for return to the main menu.

Page 6

Response to Arguments

22. Applicant's arguments filed 5/19/04 with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

23. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

US 5,761,601, note point-of-sale advertising distribution system; US 6,134,906, note display screen coupled with refrigerator appliance; US 2002/0120502 A1, note targeted advertising based on appliance usage.

24. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron C Perez-Daple whose telephone number is (703) 305-4897. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (703) 305-8498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information Application/Control Number: 09/919,864

Art Unit: 2154

Page 7

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aaron Perez-Daple

N. SHady